Guidance for Industry

M-4: CTD — Efficacy

Questions and Answers

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

January 2003
ICH

Guidance for Industry

M-4: CTD — Efficacy Questions and Answers

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Training and Communication
Division of Drug Information, HFD-240
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20857
(Tel) 301-827-4573
http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm

Office of Communication, Training and
Manufacturers Assistance, HFM-40
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-1448
http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm.
(Tel) Voice Information System at 800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

January 2003
ICH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Guidance for Industry[1]
M4: CTD — Efficacy
Questions and Answers

This guidance represents the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

This is one in a series of guidances that provide recommendations for applicants preparing the Common Technical Document for the Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (CTD) for submission to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). This guidance provides answers to questions that have arisen since the finalization of the harmonized CTD guidance documents in November 2000. This guidance specifically addresses questions related to efficacy. Other question and answer (Q &A) guidances are under development to address general questions as well as questions related to quality and safety. The questions and answers provided here reflect the consensus of the ICH parties.

II. BACKGROUND

The guidance for industry issued in November 2000 on preparing the CTD was divided into four separate documents (1) M4: Organization of the CTD, (2) M4: The CTD — Quality, (3) M4: The CTD — Efficacy, and (4) M4: The CTD — Safety. Since implementation of these guidances, a number of questions regarding the various CTD documents have been submitted to

[1] This guidance was developed within the M4 CTD-Efficacy Implementation Working Group of the International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) and has been subject to consultation by the regulatory parties, in accordance with the ICH process. This document has been endorsed by the ICH Steering Committee at *Step 4* of the ICH process, September 12, 2002. At *Step 4* of the process, the final draft is recommended for adoption to the regulatory bodies of the European Union, Japan, and the United States.

the various ICH regions. The ICH has developed a process for responding to questions submitted to the ICH Web site. This guidance addresses questions about the Efficacy document. The other Q & A guidances under development address general questions about the CTD and questions related to the Safety and Quality documents.

III. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q1: Clinical study reports contained in Module 5 are cited in the Clinical Overview and/or the Clinical Summary in Module 2. Each clinical study report may be given a unique short name when cited. Does the method of citing and naming have to be uniform throughout all modules?

A1: We recommend that each study have a unique short identifier that is used consistently throughout the application. The applicant can select the identifier. The full title of the study is provided in the Tabular Listing of All Clinical Studies (Section 5.2)

Q2: Definitions/Terminology

What is the definition of* Common Adverse Events *as used in the CTD?

A2: Guidance is provided by ICH E3 Guideline.

Q3: Section Numbering/Title (in Module 5)

In the module 5 of the CTD, is it necessary to have a section number for each clinical study report in a certain section, or is it enough just to mention the title:

5.3.5 Report of Efficacy….
5.3.5.1 Study Reports….
5.3.5.1.1 Placebo Controlled….
Study XXX

A3: See ICH granularity document.

Q4: How many pages should a Clinical Summary be for an application that contains multiple indications?

A4: The estimated size of this document is 50-400 pages, assuming one indication. Applications that include multiple indications will be larger, reflecting the submission of multiple efficacy sections.

Q5: Section "2.7.3.3" Comparisons and Analyses of Results Across Studies

The Guideline provides "This section should also cross-reference important evidence from Section 2, such as data that supports the dosage and administration section of the labeling." However, this Guideline also provides a Section, "2.7.3.4. Analysis of

Clinical Information Relevant to Recommended Dose." Please specify how to differentiate the two sections "2.7.3.3" and "2.7.3.4".

A5: Section 2.7.3.3 summarizes the data across all studies that characterize efficacy of the drug; Section 2.7.3.4 provides an integrated summary of the does-response or blood concentration-response relationships of effectiveness. In both cases, supportive data from Section 2.7.2 can also be incorporated.

Q6: Overall Extent of Exposure

In the Guideline, a table is required to be generated to present the overall extent of drug exposure in all phases of the clinical development. Should the table include "patients alone" or "patients and healthy subjects"?

A6: That table should refer to all subjects exposed to at least one dose of the drug product. Appropriate subsets of subjects relevant to the proposed indications should also be identified and considered.

Q7: Summary of Clinical Safety

Where should information be described concerning the validity of extrapolation of foreign clinical safety data to a new region?

A7: Summaries of any bridging studies using clinical endpoints (i.e., certain studies intended to evaluate the ability to extrapolate certain types of foreign clinical data to the new region (see ICH E5)) should be included in Section 2.7.3.2. Where appropriate, such information should also be described in the summarization of safety data as related to intrinsic and extrinsic ethnic factors (ICH E5), in Sections 2.7.4.5.1 and 2.7.4.5.2. Finally, some applications might include in Section 5.3.5.3 a detailed analysis of bridging, considering formal bridging studies, other relevant clinical studies, and other appropriate information. Such information should be included in that detailed analysis of bridging.

Q8: Bioavailability/Bioequivalence Study Data

Where should the information on bioequivalence studies for a generic application be included?

A8: Bioavailability study reports should be included in Module 5 (Clinical documentation), under section 5.3.1 "Reports of Biopharmaceutical Studies". More specifically, reports of comparative Bioavailability/Bioequivalence studies should go under section 5.3.1.2.

Q9: Tabular Listing of Clinical Studies in Paper CTD

In module 5, 5.2 is denoted as the 'Tabular Listing of all Clinical Studies'. Is this section for a summary listing of all clinical studies in the submission, or it is for the

listing of the individual study reports? In other words, should the listings from the appendices of the individual study reports be included here, rather than as an appendix to the CSR, or are these only listings that summarize all studies?

A9: The tabular listing described in section 5.2 is a listing of all clinical studies in the submission.

An example of such a listing is given in Table 5.1.